

Logic pset 15

Resources: HLW [Ch 8](#) “Models” and [Lecture 20](#)

1. For each of the following sequents, provide a counterexample to show that it is invalid.

(a) $\forall xFx \rightarrow \forall xGx \vdash \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$

(b) $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \vdash \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$

(c) $\vdash \forall xFx \vee \forall x\neg Fx$

(d) $\exists x(Fx \rightarrow P) \vdash \exists xFx \rightarrow P$

2. For each of the following sentences, provide one interpretation in which it is true and one interpretation in which it is false. An interpretation may be presented by giving a set M and a subset R^M of $M \times M$, or it may be presented as an arrow diagram.

(a) $\forall x\forall y\exists z(Rxz \wedge Ryz)$

(b) $\forall x(\exists yRyx \rightarrow \forall zRzx)$